Abstract: Climate change and extreme climate events have a significant impact on societies and ecosystems. As a result, climate change projections, especially related with extreme temperature events, has gained increasing importance due to their impacts on the well-being of the population and ecosystems. However, most studies in the field are based on coarse global climate models (GCMs). In this study, we perform a high resolution downscaling simulation to evaluate recent trends of extreme temperature indices. The model used was Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) forced by MPI-ESM-LR, which has been shown to be one of the more robust models to simulate European climate. The domain used in the simulations includes the Iberian Peninsula and the simulation covers the 1986 – 2005 period (i.e. recent past). In order to study extreme temperature events, trends were computed using the Theil-Sen method for a set of temperature indexes defined by the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI). For this, daily values of minimum and maximum temperatures were used. The trends of the indexes were computed for annual and seasonal values and the Mann-Kendall Trend test was used to evaluate their statistical significance. In order to validate the results, a second simulation, in which WRF was forced by ERA-Interim, was performed. The results suggest an increase in the number of warm days and warm nights, especially during summer and negative trends for cold nights and cold days for the summer and spring. For the winter, contrary to the expected, the results suggest an increase in cold days and cold nights (warming hiatus). This behavior is supported by the WRF simulation forced by ERA-Interim for the autumn days, pointing to an extension of the warming hiatus phenomenon to the remaining seasons.
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ABSTRACT

Climate change and extreme climate events have a significant impact on societies and ecosystems. As a result, climate change projections, especially related with extreme temperature events, has gained increasing importance due to their impacts on the well-being of the population and ecosystems. However, most studies in the field are based on coarse global climate models (GCMs). In this study, we perform a high resolution downscaling simulation to evaluate recent trends of extreme temperature indices. The model used was Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) forced by MPI-ESM-LR, which has been shown to be one of the more robust models to simulate European climate. The domain used in the simulations includes the Iberian Peninsula and the simulation covers the 1986 – 2005 period (i.e. recent past). In order to study extreme temperature events, trends were computed using the Theil-Sen method for a set of temperature indexes defined by the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI). For this, daily values of minimum and maximum temperatures were used. The trends of the indexes were computed for annual and seasonal values and the Mann-Kendall Trend test was used to evaluate their statistical significance. In order to validate the results, a second simulation, in which WRF was forced by ERA-Interim, was performed. The results suggest an increase in the number of warm days and warm nights, especially during summer and negative trends for cold nights and cold days for the summer and spring. For the winter, contrary to the expected, the results suggest an increase in cold days and cold nights (warming hiatus). This behavior is supported by the WRF simulation forced by ERA-Interim for the autumn days, pointing to an extension of the warming hiatus phenomenon to the remaining seasons.
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1. Introduction

The Special Report on Extreme Events (SREX) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Field, 2012) mentions evidence that some extremes have changed as a result of anthropogenic influences, including increases in atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases. As such, it is probable that anthropogenic influences have led to an increase in extreme minimum and maximum daily temperatures, on a global scale. Extreme temperature events can impact many aspects of human life, such as mortality, health, comfort, agriculture and hydrology (Ciais et al. 2005; Garcia-Herrera et al., 2005; Brown et al., 2008; Patz et al., 2005). There is growing evidence that extreme events will become more frequent and more severe in the future (e.g., Kharin and Zwiers, 2000). For this reason, solid projections of changes in the temperature extremes become more important, and have seen an increase in the last decade. In order facilitate the analysis of observed and predicted extremes in, not only temperature, but also in precipitation change, the joint World Meteorological Organization Commission for Climatology (CCl)/World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) project on Climate Variability and Predictability (CLIVAR) Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) has defined a set of climate indices (Karl et al., 2005;...
1999; Peterson et al., 2001). These indices enable a consistent comparison between analyses performed anywhere in the world and promote the analysis of extremes all over the world, particularly in less developed countries, by organizing regional climate-change workshops (Zang et al., 2011).

Alexander et al. (2006) noted, that in a great part of the global land there was a significant decrease in the annual occurrence of cold nights between 1951 and 2003. That is, some regions might have become less cold, instead of warmer. The study performed by Moberg et al. (2006) over Europe shows that global conclusions made by Alexander et al. (2006) are not representative of Europe. They showed a warming both in the daily minimum temperature and the daily maximum temperature, in agreement with a previous study by Moberg and Jones (2005). In addition, there are large regional differences in the temperature trend patterns. In a previous study conducted Klein Tank and Können (2003), a pronounced warming between 1976 and 1999 is mentioned, which is mainly associated an increase in warm extremes rather than with a decrease in cold extremes. Over Europe, large scale atmospheric circulation patterns, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (the most important pattern over Europe), mainly affects the winter temperatures (Efthymiadis et al., 2011; Espírito Santo et al., 2014). Efthymiadis et al. (2011) refer that cold extremes are associated with positive NAO phases. In recent studies, a discrepancy between observed and simulated trends in global mean surface temperature has been observed, leading to more studies on this phenomenon, such as the ones conducted by Easterling et al. (2009), Meehl et al. (2011) or Sillmann et al. (2014). These periods are referred to in the literature as hiatus periods (Meehl et al., 2011), and are characterized by little or no warming trend, and are mostly a winter phenomenon (Kosaka and Xie, 2013). Meehl et al. (2011) indicated the 2000-2009 period as a hiatus period. Recently, Sillmann et al. (2014) reported that the largest discrepancy between observed and simulated trends in cold extremes (temperature minimum) is found in the Northern mid-latitudes (20 °N – 45 °N), where observations indicate a coherent zonal band of decreasing trends between 1996 and 2010. However, Seneviratne et al. (2014) showed that hot extremes have continued to warm despite the global warming hiatus.

Global Climate Models (GCMs) are a fundamental tool for the study of future climate and are able to capture climate phenomena on a continental or sub-continental scale (Schliep et al. 2010). They provide data either to estimate large-scale aspects of climate change, to drive regional climate models or to be used directly by impact models (Barfus, et al. 2014). The fifth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) has made available long-term simulations for the 20th century climate and projections for the 21st (Taylor, et al. 2012), for which using a set of emission scenarios, referred to as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) were used (Moss et al., 2010). This has increased our knowledge of climate variability and climate change. Even though GCMs, such as those used in CMIP5, provide useful information, such as in the works done by Sillmann et al. (2013a) and Sillmann et al. (2013b), their low-resolution does not allow for climate change evaluation at a local scale. Regional Climate Models (RCMs) are used to simulate the space-time variability of climate change with greater precision than GCMs. Currently, the downscaling techniques in use nest the RCM within the GCM, allowing simulations over a smaller area with higher resolution. This comes at the expense of limiting the model domain size, i.e. by focusing over a limited area. According to Brands et al. (2013), the MPI-ESM-LR model has the best performance for simulating the temperature over Europe.

Several studies have been carried out to explore temperature extremes in the Mediterranean region (e. g. Kuglitsch et al., 2010) and in Spain (e. g. Esteban-Parra et al., 1995; Cruz and Lage, 2006; Brunet et al., 2007; Del Río et al., 2007; Martínez et al., 2010;). However, although there are already some studies for the Iberian Peninsula (such as Rodríguez-Puebla et al., 2010; Fernández-Montes et al., 2012), these studies feature few stations for the Western part of the Peninsula (Portugal). The same happens with the publicly available data source ECA&D (European
Climate Assessment & Data set website http://eca.knmi.nl, Klein Tank et al., 2002) which includes 199 stations for several European countries but with a reduced number of stations over Portugal.

In this paper, we analyses the results of a downscaling simulation to evaluate the high resolution recent trends of extreme temperature indices over the Iberian Peninsula. The WRF simulations forced by ERA-Interim and MPI data, covering the period from 1986 to 2005. Carvalho et al. (2014) compared WRF model results forced with several reanalysis and compared model performance in terms of wind. That study found ERA-Interim as the best WRF driven over Iberian Peninsula.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 details the study area, model data basics and the methods applied. The results are presented in Section 3 starting with changes in hot and cold extremes. The conclusions are given in Section 4.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The region of study is the Iberian Peninsula, located in the southwestern part of Europe (Fig. 1). Its topography is complex with mountains and elevations, more notably the Pyrenees, which connect the Peninsula with France, and reach an altitude of nearly 3400 meters and Sierra Nevada (located in the south of Spain) with of approximately 3500 meters.

The north and west are under influence of the Atlantic Ocean while the south is influenced by the Mediterranean Sea. The rest of the area is coastline, under the influence of the Atlantic Ocean in the North and the West, and the Mediterranean Sea in the South. The region is usually influenced by the cold temperatures of the Atlantic Ocean and the warm temperatures over the Mediterranean Sea and the Sahara desert. The region’s particular geography promotes a marked climate gradient from the north to the south, as well as diurnal and seasonal thermal gradients from the coastal areas to the center of the Iberian Peninsula (Dasari et al. 2014). The air temperatures near the surface, especially the cold extremes, are associated with the East Atlantic mode and with the NAO pattern, which is more intense in the Northern Hemisphere (Espírito Santo et al., 2014).

2.2. Regional Simulations

The daily minimum and maximum surface air temperatures used were simulated by the regional model WRF (Weather Research and Forecasting) (Skamarock et al., 2008). The initial and boundary conditions used by the RCM were supplied by the (1) MPI-ESM-LR (with the r1i1p1 initialization), which is a GCM with 200 km (1.8750 °) spatial resolution (both in latitude and longitude) and 6-hourly temporal sampling; (2) Era-Interim, with a 0.75° horizontal resolution and the same 6-hourly temporal sampling. This simulation was forced with ERA-Interim to evaluate the performance of the MPI as a driver for WRF. The ERA-Interim is the most recent reanalysis data set provided by the ECMWF and contains selected improvements on the ERA-40, such as representation of the hydrological cycle, the quality of the stratospheric circulation, and the consistency in time of the reanalyzed fields (Dee et al., 2011).

The simulation domains are show in Fig. 1 with 9 km of spatial resolution, covering the Iberian Peninsula and a portion of the North-Western Atlantic Ocean, with a latitude and longitude ranging from 33° to 45°N and 12°W to 5.5°E, respectively. The temporal domain of simulated data covers the 1986 – 2005 period (i.e. recent past) (see Marta-Almeida et al., 2015).

2.3. Methods

In this work, the main goal is to explore the changes in surface temperature extremes, related with extreme cold and hot temperature events. There are several methods to define and characterize extreme temperature events. The approach used in this study to evaluate these changes in extreme temperature events was the annual and seasonal analysis of the trends of the indices of extremes in daily minimum (TN) and maximum (TX) air temperature.
2.3.1. Indices

In Table 1, a description of the 17 indices based on the TN and TX is presented, as defined by a team of ETCCDI experts. These indices have been used in several studies about changes in the temperature extremes (http://etccdi.pacificclimate.org/list_27_indices.shtml). The indices can be divided in 4 (Alexander et al., 2006): (1) Percentile, (2) Threshold, (3) Absolute and (4) Duration-based. In general, the percentile-based indices are defined as days over the warmest/coldest long-term percentiles, which include the occurrence of hot days (TX90p), warm nights (TN90p), cold days (TX10p) and cold nights (TN10p). Threshold indices are defined as the number of days in which a maximum or minimum temperature falls above or below a fixed threshold. These include the annual or seasonal occurrence of frost days (FD), ice days (ID), summer days (SU) and tropical nights (TR). Absolute indices represent maximum or minimum values within a season or year. They include maximum daily maximum temperature (TXx), maximum daily minimum temperature (TNx), minimum daily maximum temperature (TXn) and minimum daily minimum temperature (TNn). Duration indices define periods of excessive warmth (WSDI) and coldness (CSDI). There are other indices, such as diurnal temperature range (DTR) and extreme temperature range (ETR) that is computed from TXx and TNn. The reference period considered in this work for the calculation of percentile indices, is the reference period between 1986 and 2005.

Every index is computed for the WRF grid forced by the MPI-ESM-LR (MPI) and Era-interim (ERA) simulations. The analysis performed was restricted to a few indices, after considering the relevance of the entirety of results. The analyzed indices are underlined in Table 1. In the choice of the indices under analysis, previous studies were taken into account, as well as their magnitude of change (i.e. indices with greater expected change were selected). These were computed on an annual and seasonal scale, for the period between 1986 and 2005, that is, one value per year for the period of study. This approach has been used, for instance, by Sillmann et al. (2014). Regarding the seasonal scale, the following periods were considered: spring (March, April and May), summer (June, July and August), autumn (September, October and November) and winter (December, January and February). For the winter of 1986, the December of the previous year is used.

2.3.2. Trends estimation

Once the indices for the entire domain have been computed, for both MPI and ERA forced simulations, the seasonal and annual trends were computed using the Theil-Sen method, also known as the “median of pair-wise slopes” nonparametric regression (Theil, 1950; Sen, 1968). Since some of the indices data do not have a Gaussian distribution and, in these cases, a simple linear squares estimation would not be appropriate. Therefore, the statistical significance of the trends was tested using the nonparametric Mann–Kendall test (Wilks, 2011) for 0.05 significance level (p-value < 0.05), against the null hypothesis of no trend. In addition, the spatial means for the minimum and maximum temperature were computed for both simulations, along with their respective trends.

3. Results and Discussion

The spatial patterns of the trend for 20 year set of indices were analyzed in order to quantify the variations in temperature extremes, and to verify the presence/absence of a trend for the period between 1986 and 2005 (recent past).

3.1. Changes in hot extremes

Recent studies have revealed significant generalized changes in temperature extremes, with a warming trend in every region of the globe (Manton et al., 2001, Peterson et al., 2002, Aguilar et al.,
2005, Griffiths et al., 2005 and New et al., 2006). Fig. 2 shows that the 1986-2005 annual trends in the number of summer days (SU) is positive in the North for MPI-driven simulation and in the South for ERA-driven simulation (the trend is statistically significant at a 0.05 significance level), with a maximum of approximately 1 day/year. The differences between these two simulations are higher for the regions where the trends are higher. The annual trends are mostly due to strong positive trends simulated for summer (not shown).

The annual trend in the number of summer days is also complemented by the TX90p index (Warm days). Fig. 3 shows the annual trend in warm days for the period between 1986 and 2005. An increase in the number of warm days of approximately 1 day/year is seen over the North and center of Portugal for WRF forced by MPI and over the North of Algeria for WRF forced by ERA, where the trend is statistically significant. The MPI-driven simulation overestimates the number of warm days over the North and center of Portugal in comparison with the ERA-driven simulation. The differences between the simulations (MPI-driven and ERA-driven) are under 0.5 days/year, and in general both simulations points towards an increase in the number of warm days over the Iberian Peninsula.

Looking at the TX90p index (warm days) for winter (Fig. 4), the differences between simulations increase. In general, the MPI-driven simulation predicts a decrease in the number of warm days for winter of about 0.3 days/year over the Iberian Peninsula, whereas ERA-driven simulation indicates an increase in the number of warm days of 0.2 days/year. The differences for winter trends for the period of 1986 to 2005 between MPI-driven and ERA-driven simulations show an underestimation of approximately -0.5 days/year in the number of warm days for simulation MPI in relation to ERA. For both spring and summer, MPI-driven and ERA-driven are in agreement when it comes to the sign of the trend over the Iberian Peninsula, with a trend of the number of warm days of approximately +0.3 days/year. For summer, as in the SU index, the simulation forced by MPI shows an overestimation in the North/West of Portugal and an underestimation in the South/East of Spain, of about +/-0.3 days/year. These are the regions for which there is a greater difference between simulations. For the autumn, the MPI-driven shows an increase in the number of warm days in the North and West of the IP of +0.2 days/year and a trend of -0.2 days/year in the South and East. The ERA-driven simulation shows a reduction in the number of warm days, which is statistically significant for most of the domain, with some regions showing a decrease of up to 0.5 days/year.

Fig. 5 shows the annual trend of warm nights (TN90p) for the period between 1986 and 2005. The results for the MPI-driven simulation show a maximum trend over the Iberian Peninsula of +0.8 days/year, i.e. an increase of 0.8 warm nights/year. The regions with highest trends are statistically significant. The biggest differences between the results obtained for simulation MPI-driven and ERA-driven are found in the South of the Iberian Peninsula, in which the annual trend of warm nights is of approximately -0.3 days/year for ERA-driven, and positive for the MPI-driven.

For the winter (Fig. 6.), the MPI-driven simulation points towards a -0.2 days/year trend over Spain, while over Portugal the trend ranges from zero to +0.1 days/year. On the other hand, ERA-driven has a value of -0.2 days/year in the Southwest of the Iberian Peninsula and of +0.3 days/year in the North and East. For the summer days, the difference in the number of warm nights for both simulation. The MPI-driven simulation over the Iberian Peninsula has an increase of 0.3 days/year, a trend which is statistically significant in the western part of the Iberian Peninsula. For ERA-driven, there are small regions of increase in the number of warm nights but, in general, and especially in the West, there is a decrease in warm nights. This trend, however, is not statistically significant. This
negative trend in the number of warm nights becomes statistically significant in some regions of Spain in the autumn, with a value of -0.6 days/year, for the results for the ERA-driven simulation.

There is some inconsistency between the simulated trends in warm temperature extreme indices, especially for the autumn days. The MPI-driven simulation does not represent the cooling observed in ERA-driven, with a statistically significant trend of -0.5 days and nights / year. However, for winter, the simulation MPI-driven has a more intense cooling, when compared with ERA-driven. But in general, taking into account only the spatial pattern of the annual trends between 1986 and 2005, there is, on average, an increase of + 0.4 days/year of warm days (TX90p) and nights (TN90p).

3.2. Changes in cold extremes

A study conducted by Karl et al. (1993) suggests an asymmetric warming of TN and TX, which is later observed by other studies, especially by Simolo et al. (2011), which proposes a greater asymmetry in the distribution of TN, for Europe. In this section, cold temperature indices are analyzed. For the annual trend of FD (Frost Days), Fig. 7, the results obtained for the simulation MPI-driven show a decrease in the number of frost days over the Pyrenees, with some points in which the trend is statistically significant. There are also grid points with a positive, statistically significant trend over regions of higher altitude. On the other hand, the results obtained for the simulation ERA-driven suggests a decrease in the number of frost days for the center of the Iberian Peninsula, with a value of approximately -0.5 days/year. However, this trend is not statistically significant.

The annual trend of the number of cold days (TX10p) is shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that for the MPI-driven simulation it has positive and negative values of 0.2 days/year, i.e., there are areas with an increase in the number of cold days, and other areas with a decrease in the number of cold nights of 0.2 days/year. The difference in the annual trend between simulations is significant in some areas. The ERA-driven simulation shows a decrease in the number of cold days for the entire Iberian Peninsula. However, this trend is only statistically significant in the North of Spain.

For winter, the MPI-driven simulation (Fig. 9) shows a positive trend of + 0.3 days/year over the Iberian Peninsula, except for a small region in the East of Spain, which shows a trend of - 0.1 days/year. The differences between the results in the trends obtained for the simulation forced by MPI and ERA is 0.3 days/year or less, and the results obtained with ERA-driven are, in general, positive trends. There is an increase in the number of cold days for winter. The difference between the trends with MPI-driven and ERA-driven simulation for the number of cold days is small, and both simulation suggest a decrease in the number of cold days for spring. Both simulations have a trend of -0.4 days/year over the Iberian Peninsula. This negative trend is maintained for summer. Similarly to the TX90p index (in which the trends in ERA-driven for the autumn show a more intense decrease in the number of warm days, in comparison with MPI-driven simulation) the ERA simulations point to a larger increase in the number of cold days, in which the trend over the Center/ South region is of + 0.4 days/year and statistically significant.

Fig. 10 shows the annual trend of cold nights (TN10p). For the MPI-driven simulation, the results show an increase in the number of cold nights over the North of the Iberian Peninsula, and a decrease over the South. The differences between MPI and ERA are positive in the North and negative in the South, and of the order of 0.4 days/year.
Fig. 11 shows a variation in the pattern of the seasonal trend of the TN10p index (cold nights). The results for MPI-driven simulation suggest an increase in cold nights over the Iberian Peninsula, with statistically significant trends in high altitude regions. For spring, both MPI-driven and ERA-driven simulations show a trend of -0.7 days/year, which are statistically significant in all domain. The negative trend in the number of cold nights is also observed in the summer, but with a value of -0.2 for MPI-driven and -0.3 for ERA-driven, in the Southeast of the Iberian Peninsula. The largest difference between simulations is observed in autumn, with a predominantly negative trend in TN10p for simulation forced by MPI of -0.1 days/year, and a positive trend in the South/East of 0.4 days/year for simulation forced by ERA. The difference, therefore, reaches 0.5 days/year.

Unlike what happened with warm extremes, in which an annual increase in warm days/nights is simulated over the Iberian Peninsula, for cold extremes the model does not show a generalized decrease in the cold days/nights over the Iberian Peninsula. On the contrary, it shows areas where there is an annual increase in cold days/nights. In addition, there is a greater difference between the MPI-driven and ERA-driven annual trends than in warm extremes. Several studies have suggested that the inconsistency between simulated results and observations is explained by a temporary ‘hiatus’ in global warming, that is referred to as a winter phenomenon (Cohen et al., 2012; Kosaka and Xie, 2013). In this study, the model shows, not only a cooling in winter, but also in the autumn months. Furthermore, in ERA-driven simulation, this cooling is more intense for the autumn (approximately +0.4 cold days/nights/year) than for the winter (approximately +0.2 cold days/nights/year). To evaluate if these results depend on the forcing (MPI or ERA) or on the WRF model, the same indices were calculated for ERA-Interim temperature data for the period between 1986 and 2005. The trends for the indices obtained using this data set (ERA-Interim) also show a more intense cooling for the autumn months. In recent literature, possible causes for this unexpected finding between simulated results and observation have already been discussed. The explanations put forward were: a decrease in stratospheric water vapor (Solomon et al., 2010), an increase in tropospheric and stratospheric aerosols concentration (Solomon et al 2011, Kaufmann et al. 2011) or an internal climate variability manifested via La-Niña-like decadal cooling in combination with a vertical re-distribution of heat in the ocean (Meehl et al 2011; Kosaka and Xie 2013; England et al 2014).

### 3.3. The Hiatus Period

We computed the average minimum (TNmean) and maximum (TXmean) time series for the whole domain, which is shown in Fig. 12. In general, there is a positive trend in the annual mean. These results are consistent with previous studies based on maximum and minimum temperatures and their percentiles, which represent an increase in the temperature for the Iberian Peninsula (for instance, Prieto et al. 2004; Brunet et al., 2007;Martínez et al., 2010 ;Del Rio et al., 2011), with a maximum value of TX for the MPI model (0.032 degrees/year). In addition, it is seen that the rate of “observed” warming is slower than the simulated by the model (MPI). This result is observed in several studies, especially the one carried out by Fyfe et al. (2013), which mentions the overestimation of global warming over the past 20 years (1993-2012). However, there is no agreement on the period for which this overestimated global warming occurred, with it varying between 1996 and 2010 (Silmann et al., 2014) or from 2000 to 2009 (Meehl et al. (2011)).

Unlike what happens for the annual means, the seasonal time series for the autumn and winter show negative trends.

Fig. 13a shows the TN and TX time series and their respective trends for the winter days. There is a negative trend for both the TN and the TX, which indicates a decrease in the minimum and
maximum temperature during winter. For TX, the negative trend is due to the last few years, from 1999 to 2005 (warming hiatus). If we were to remove this period, the observed trend would be positive. Also, the model is able to detect this cooling with very similar trends: MPI with a trend of -0.023 degrees/year and ERA with -0.024 degrees/year.

When it comes to winter days TN, the difference between ERA-driven and MPI-driven simulation increases, with MPI showing a larger negative trend. Between 1999 and 2005, ERA-driven simulation of TN shows a decrease in temperature, whereas for MPI-driven the negative trend is due to the “flattening of the normal distribution”, which means that this negative trend observed in MPI is not due to a clear decrease in temperature (i.e., the model does not simulate the warming hiatus). For the autumn days, the time series (Fig. 13b)) shows that MPI-driven has a positive trend, showing an increase in temperature, whereas ERA-driven has a negative trend. The differences are more significant for TX than for TN.

4. Conclusions

The goal of this study was to analyze the trends in the extreme temperature indices over the Iberian Peninsula, using downscaling simulations forced by the MPI-ESM-LR (MPI) and Era-Interim (ERA), for the period between 1986 and 2005 (recent past). The main conclusions obtained from this study are the following:

- For hot extremes:
  In general, an increase in the annual number of extreme warm events was detected (summer days (SU), warm days (TX90p) and warm nights (TN90p)).
  The annual trends of the warm extreme indices are mostly due to the trend simulated for spring and summer.
  The autumn and winter seasonal trends are the ones with the largest difference between simulated and “observed” (ERA-driven simulations) values which was unexpected for autumn.
  The ERA-driven simulation has statistically significant negative trends over the Iberian Peninsula, with warm days (TX90p) and warm nights (TN90p) displaying a decrease of approximately 1 day/year. For winter, the MPI-driven simulation shows a negative trend for TX90p that is statistically significant in some regions, which is not the case in the ERA-driven simulations.

- For cold extremes:
  The annual trends obtained for the cold temperature extremes show a greater disparity between simulated for ERA-Interim and MPI.
  The results simulated for MPI-driven show regions with positive trends, pointing to an increase in cold temperature extremes, especially for cold days (TX10p) and cold nights (TN10p).
  The seasonal trends obtained for spring indicate a decrease in the number of frost days, cold days and cold nights, with areas where these trends are statistically significant. Especially for cold nights (TN10p), which have an increase of approximately one day/year.
  For summer, the negative trends are as observed for spring. In agreement with the hot extremes indices, in general, the trends for the autumn are positive for the ERA-driven simulation and of opposite sign for the MPI-driven simulation, for the indices shown in this work.
  For the winter months, the MPI-driven simulation showed a statistically significant increase in frost days and cold nights in the regions with higher altitude over the Iberian Peninsula.
  For the ERA-driven simulation, the trends for the indices of cold extremes, are generally positive but these are not statistically significant and in some areas the trends are negative. In spite of the agreement between the results of the MPI and ERA driven simulations, this increase in the number of cold days and nights was not expected, and may be due to the fact that the hiatus period is included in the study period.
- TNmean and TXmean time series:

When the trends are determined based on linear regression, it was observed that if the data have an irregular evolution in time this method would have few limitations. These limitations were identified in a paper by Tomé and Miranda (2004), where it is mentioned that this approach, although commonly used in variability studies, is not able study the distribution of climate breakpoints in space and time.

The annual trend for the TN and TX simulations is higher for the ERA-driven simulation. A higher trend for TX in relation to TN was observed for both simulations (WRF forced by ERA and MPI). The seasonal trends of TN and TX for winter, both for ERA-driven and MPI-driven simulations, are negative. Several studies (Brunet et al., 2006; Brunet et al., 2007; El Kenawy et al., 2011; de Lima et al., 2013;) indicate a heating trend, so that negative trends for TX and TN, which point to a decrease in the winter temperature, were unexpected. These trends may be due to the fact that the warming hiatus is included in the period at study, leading to a negative trend. Furthermore, may also be a factor that the method used does not take into account the discontinuity points between trends with opposite signs.

For autumn, the trends in TN and TX for ERA-driven and MPI-driven simulations have a large difference. ERA has a negative trend, whereas MPI has a positive trend. The literature reports on the occurrence of the warming hiatus as a winter phenomenon, although it can start in autumn. For this reason, the biggest decrease in the TX variable, of -0.026°C/year, is observed for autumn.
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Fig. 1. Location of the study area and the simulation domain (http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/global.html)
Fig 2. Annual trends of SU (summer days) for the period of 1986-2005 over Iberian Peninsula for WRF forced by MPI (left) and ERA (right). Dots represent grid points where the trend is statistically significant at a 0.05 significance level.
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Table 1. List of all extreme temperatures indices. The present study concentrated on those indices that are underlined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SU</td>
<td>Summer days</td>
<td>Annual count when TX &gt; 25 °C</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Tropical nights</td>
<td>Annual count when TN &gt; 20 °C</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXx</td>
<td>Max TX</td>
<td>Maximum value of daily TX</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXn</td>
<td>Min TX</td>
<td>Minimum value of daily TX</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN90p</td>
<td>Warm nights</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TN&gt;90th percentile of 1986–2005</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX90p</td>
<td>Warm days</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TX&gt;90th percentile of 1986–2005</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDI</td>
<td>Cold spell duration index</td>
<td>Annual count of days with at least 6 consecutive days when TN &lt; 10th percentile</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD</td>
<td>Frost days</td>
<td>Annual count when TN &lt; 0 °C</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Ice days</td>
<td>Annual count when TX &lt;0 °C</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNx</td>
<td>Max TN</td>
<td>Maximum value of daily TN</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNn</td>
<td>Min TN</td>
<td>Minimum value of daily TN</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN10p</td>
<td>Cool nights</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TN&lt;10th percentile of 1986–2005</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX10p</td>
<td>Cool days</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TX&lt;10th percentile of 1986–2005</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSDI</td>
<td>Warm spell duration index</td>
<td>Annual count of days with at least 6 consecutive days when TX &gt; 90th percentile</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR</td>
<td>Diurnal temperature range</td>
<td>Yearly mean difference between TX and TN</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>Extreme temperature range</td>
<td>Difference between TXx and TNn</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>Growing season length</td>
<td>Annual count of days between the first span of at least 6 days with $T_{\text{mean}} &gt; 5 ^\circ \text{C}$ and first span after 1 July of 6 days with $T_{\text{mean}} &lt; 5 ^\circ \text{C}$</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>